

# Horses beat cars in Epsom's Langley Vale housing development application

28 February 2026



Plans to build up to 110 homes on agricultural fields in Epsom have been thrown out with fears of a horse vs car 'collision corridor'. The Langley Vale scheme has been rejected following fierce objections from councillors, local campaigners, and the Jockey Club.

The proposed site, just a stone's throw from the world-famous Epsom Downs Racecourse, was described as "unsustainable" and a threat to both local wildlife and the town's horse racing heritage.

After a heated debate at Epsom and Ewell Borough Council's planning committee on February 26, councillors shot down the application. Reasons for refusal included the site's poor transport links, reliance on private cars, harm to the openness of the green belt, risks to horses and riders, and adverse effects on the landscape.

Cllr **Jan Mason** (RA Ruxley) did not mince her words. "It's not just a few extra cars," she said. "Have we actually raised the issue of the Jockey Club? The most famous race in the world is held in Epsom in June and has gone on for probably 300 years. This company is only after money."

She also highlighted the practical issues for new residents: "If there's no school nearby, no shops, no bus service, then sticking families up on the downs isn't giving them a home. It's dumping them where nothing exists."

Highways and transport were another huge concern. Cllr **Steven McCormick** (RA Woodcote and Langley), who represents the area, called the application "fundamentally and legally unsafe," citing the risk to both residents and the racing industry. "Records reveal a terrifying reality when a horse spooks, its instinct is to bolt for home, often forcing these 500 kg animals onto the public road network" he warned. "By placing 110 homes and hundreds of daily car movements at the mouth of the Warren, a known site for unseated riders, we are creating a collision corridor."

Local campaigners echoed those concerns. John Mumford, speaking for the Langley Vale Action Group, noted the overwhelming public opposition of 374 letters of objection and a petition with 2,232 signatures. He said: "For every reason put forward to justify the scheme, there are more compelling policy and environmental reasons as to why this scheme should be refused."

**Bernice Froud** (RA Woodcote and Langley), another councillor, painted a vivid picture of the community at risk. "You cannot mitigate the destruction of a community's soul." She pointed to horses being part of Epsom's heritage amongst other wildlife. "The rare and beautiful plant, the night flowering catchfly, has chosen our village as its home. Once we pour concrete over it, we will destroy this site of nature conservation importance forever."

The Jockey Club added weight to the case, stressing that Epsom's horse racing industry generates over £63m a year and that the development would "have a significant adverse impact" on operations and equestrian safety, including routes used by racehorses to reach training grounds.

While councillors agreed homes, especially affordable ones, are sorely needed, it does not come at any cost.

Emily Dalton LDRS

Related reports:

Keep our Valley Green say Langley Vale campaigners

110-Home Scheme at Langley Vale Sparks Green Belt Fears

Image: View of proposed Langley Vale development. (Credit: Fairfax Aspire Ltd/ Epsom and Ewell Borough Council planning documents)